line. Good style for visual appeal demand that reserved words and comments be flanked by white spaces and we do not see the need to rectify these 'anomalies'.

5.2. Reduced token sequences

We introduce the notion of 'reduced' token sequences which makes it easy to define the functions that give the left margin width of output lines. Intuitively speaking, a token sequence T1 o T3 is a reduced token sequence of T1 o T2 o T3 if T2 is the token sequence of a syntactically 'sensible' Pascal statement. One might insist that T2 correspond to a syntactically correct statement. This, we believe, is overburdening the indenting programs; guaranteeing syntactic correctness is the function of a compiler, not indenting programs. What is syntactically sensible is made clear in the way the mathematical function RED maps a given token sequence to its reduction.

The P, Q, R, S and T below denote token sequences, and s and t denote single tokens. Expressions of the kind "if $T = R \circ DECL \circ S$ for some R and S" are abbreviated as 'if $T = R \circ DECL \circ S$ '. The special tokens DECL and PF are devised for the purposes of the RED function below and do not have corresponding words.

Definition of RED (see notes below)

- RED(T o t) ::= RED(RED(T) o t)
 Thus we assume below that the sequence denoted by T is not reducible any further.
- 2. Let t = PROCEDURE, FUNCTION OF PROGRAM. Then $\text{RED}(\text{T} \circ t) ::= \text{R} \circ \text{DECL} \circ \text{PF}$, where R = S if $\text{T} = \text{S} \circ \text{DECL}$, R = T if T does not end with either DECL of LPAREN; ::= T, if T does end with LPAREN.
- 3. Let t = LABEL, CONST, TYPE or VAR. Then RED(T o t) ::= R o DECL, where R = S if T = S o DECL, R = T if T does not end with either DECL or LPAREN; ::= T, if T does end with LPAREN.
- 4. Let t = FORWARD, or EXTERN. Then $RED(T \circ t) := S$, if $T = S \circ PF$; := T, otherwise.
- 5. RED(T \circ BEGIN) ::= S \circ BEGIN, if T = S \circ PF, or if T = S \circ PF \circ DECL; ::= T \circ BEGIN, otherwise.
- 6. Let t = RECORD, LPAREN, REPEAT, CASE, DO, THEN OF COLON. Then RED(To t) ::= To t.
- 7. RED(T o OF) :: = S o CASE if T = S o CASE o COLON; :: = T, otherwise.
- 8. Let the pair $\langle t, s \rangle$ be one of $\langle RPAREN, LPAREN \rangle$, $\langle UNTIL, REPEAT \rangle$. Then $RED(T \circ t) ::= R$, if $T = R \circ s \circ S$ where S does not have any tokens s; ::= 00, otherwise
- 9. RED(T O END) ::= R, if T = R O RECORD OS where S is free of RECORDS; ::= P, if T is free of RECORDS and $T = P \circ S \circ Q$ where S is either a BEGIN, or a CASE and Q does not have any of these tokens; ::= 00, otherwise.
- 10. RED(TOELSE) ::= ROELSE, if T = ROTHENOS where S is free of THENS; ::= ELSE, otherwise.
 - 11. RED(T O SEMICOLON) ::= R O s, if T = R O s O S where s is any token but THEN, ELSE, DO, or COLON and S is a sequence of these tokens only; ::= 00, otherwise.
- 12. RED(T \circ t) ::= T, for any t not covered above.

The many cases in the definition reflect the syntax of the language. It should be clear that many illegal Pascal constructs would result in valid reduced sequences. As